UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/604,336	07/11/2003	Ulf STEFANSSON	6730.054.PCUS00	1335
	7590 06/09/200 CE + QUIGG LLP	EXAMINER		
1300 EYE STR SUITE 1000 W	EET NW	PATEL, VISHAL A		
WASHINGTO		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3676	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/604,336	STEFANSSON, ULF	
Examiner	Art Unit	

		Vishal Patel	3676	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE	REPLY FILED 03 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. 🛚	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) b)	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
have under set fo may r	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sign in the control of the sign in th	f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 cension and the corresponding amount of chortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropriat nally set in the final Offic	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. 🗀	ICE OF APPEAL The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wind NDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3.	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, because of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, because of the proposed amendment(s). They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below the proposed in the proposed amendment (see NOTE below the proposed amendment	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	ΓE below);	
•	 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 			ne issues for
5. 🗌	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	<u> </u>		,
6. <u> </u>	non-allowable claim(s).		•	_
7. 🛚	how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	i pe entered and an e.	kpianation of
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. 🗌	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
	11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration because: Applicants' argument that the reference of Nathan does not persuasive because as stated in the rejection the reference on the reference of Nathan does not persuasive because as stated in the rejection the reference of Nathan does not persuasive because as stated in the rejection the reference of Nathan does not persuasive because as stated in the rejection the reference of Nathan does not persuasive because as stated in the rejection the reference of Nathan does not persuasive because as stated in the rejection the reference of Nathan does not persuasive because as stated in the rejection the reference of Nathan does not persuasive because as stated in the rejection the reference of Nathan does not persuasive because as stated in the rejection the reference of Nathan does not persuasive because as stated in the rejection the reference of Nathan does not persuasive because as stated in the rejection the reference of Nathan does not persuasive because as stated in the rejection the reference of Nathan does not persuasive because as stated in the rejection the reference of Nathan does not persuasive because as stated in the rejection the reference of Nathan does not persuasive because as stated in the reference of Nathan does not persuasive because as the reference of Nathan does not persuasive because as the reference of Nathan does not persuasive because as the reference of Nathan does not persuasive because the reference of Nathan do	not disclose the cavity extends aro erence of Nathan is use to teach th	und the periphery of the	ne seal ring is r a hollow
	<u>protrusion.</u>Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

/Vishal Patel/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3676

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Application No.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090605